

Corrections to *Introduction to Gödel's Theorems*, 2nd edition (CUP, 2013)

Only the typos in the argument at the top of p. 89 might cause real difficulties (and now I look at it again, that whole argument seems unhappily presented).

| Page | Line | Is | Should be |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 76 | para c. | similarly to case (ii). | similarly to case (b). |
| 87 | 14 ⁻ | which is the provably equivalent | which is provably equivalent |
| 89 | 1 | $\forall w\varphi(w)$ | $\forall w\psi(w)$ |
| 89 | 8 | $\exists y\varphi(Sx, y)$ | $\exists y\varphi(Sw, y)$ |
| 89 | 9 | $\varphi(Sx, b)$ | $\varphi(Sw, b)$ |
| 96 | (c)3 | for the theories soundness | for the theory's soundness |
| 116 | 18 ⁻ , 13 ⁻ | $k_i \leq m_i$ | $k_i < m_i$ |
| 120 | 10 | $\exists!y\varphi(\bar{m}, y)$ | $\exists!y\psi(\bar{m}, y)$ |
| 132 | 5 ⁻ | equinumerous with the non-self-identical things | equinumerous with the class of non-self-identical things |
| 138 | §19.2, 1.16 | in other words, | in fact, |
| 145 | 2 ⁻ | a formal wffs | a formal wff |
| 151 | 8 | $\{n = \ulcorner (\ulcorner \star j \star \urcorner (\ulcorner \star k \star \urcorner \rightarrow \ulcorner \star j \star \urcorner)) \urcorner \}$ | $[Wff(j) \wedge Wff(k) \wedge \{n = \ulcorner (\ulcorner \star j \star \urcorner \rightarrow \ulcorner \star k \star \urcorner (\ulcorner \star j \star \urcorner)) \urcorner \}]$ |
| 161 | 2 | arithmetic Δ_0 | arithmetic $\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$ |
| 186 | 10 ⁻ | Gld | Gdl |
| 187 | (d)2 | if when | if |
| 188 | 4 | there is also proof of $\neg R_T$ super g.n. m | there is also a proof of $\neg R_T$ with super g.n. m |
| 201 | Th. 28.1 | If T is nice theory | If T is a nice theory |
| 202 | Th. 28.2 | If T is nice theory | If T is a nice theory |
| 207 | 19 ⁻ | make so sense | make no sense |

| Page | Line | Is | Should be |
|------|----------------|--|--|
| 245 | 1 | In the Chapter 31, | In Chapter 31, |
| 253 | 2 ⁻ | $T \vdash \Box\gamma \rightarrow \Box(\Box\gamma \rightarrow \perp)$ | $T \vdash \Box\gamma \rightarrow \Box(\Box\gamma \rightarrow \perp)$ |
| 255 | 1 ⁻ | Suppose $T \vdash \text{Con}$. | Suppose $T \vdash \text{Con}_T$. |
| 257 | Th. 34.5 | then Löb's Theorem's holds | then Löb's Theorem holds |
| 300 | 5 ⁻ | Predicatably | Predictably |
| 306 | 5 | $\dots(z = 2^p \cdot 3^w \wedge \text{Prf}_T(p, w))]$ | $\dots(z = 2^p \cdot 3^w \wedge \text{Prf}_T(p, w))]$ |
| 307 | 1, 6, 7 | $\text{enum}(\mu j[Rjn])$ | $\text{enum}(\mu j[R(j, n)])$ |
| 324 | 5 ⁻ | the second block \boxed{m} | the second \boxed{m} block |
| 334 | 8 | we'll prove a (version) of | we'll prove (a version of) |
| 336 | 12 | such that, for for all n | such that, for all n |
| 363 | 17 | implied | suggested |
| 381 | 8 ⁻ | Pojęcie Prawdy w Językach Nauk Dedukcyjnych | Pojęcie Prawdy w Językach Nauk Dedukcyjnych |
| 381 | 7 ⁻ | Tarski | Tarski |

On p. 37 we do say will be relaxed about matters of bracketing so the suggested correction for p. 307 is perhaps a matter of taste.

With many thanks to Richard Baron, Mathieu Beirlaen, Adrian Ludusan, Spencer Dembner, Saeed Salehi, Brett Sherman, and Leszek Wroński